13 June 1947.

SUDGESTIONS IN COMMETTEN WITH THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE ACHINEY SECTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES UNIFICATION BILL China Commission of the second of the second of

le Givilian versus Military Director: It would seem preferable to state that the Director should be chosen "free civilian or military life by the Freeident, with the advice and consent of the Senete, " there leaving it to the President to detoraling whatles he wishes to appoint a civilian on a military Director, The friency problem in this commection is concerned with the continuity of the Director's tentre rather than the branch from which the inembent comes. The present Director has indicated a decire to remain in this position for the caretion of his Maval server, However, 18 would work a definite hardship on a military was if it were necessary for him to retire from the service in securing this position unless the statute called forte specific term of years for the Director's tenure. Pailure to astablish such tenure would place the Director at the mercy of those who might desire to ough him for purely a political reasonable states AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

On the other hand, the question of tenure of office may well have been smitted from the proposed legislation in order that the President might have a free hand in nominating a hirector of his own cheesing, as he does in the case of other executive positions in the Government. In the early formative stages of the development of this Agency, it may well be considered preferable to have a military Director who is conversant with the problems and personnel of the armed services, on whom so much reliance must be placed for intelligence information and cooperation.

2. The Position of CIA in the Governmental Structure It would appear best to maintain the Central Intelligence Agency in the position that the bill contemplates it will occupy - that is, under the Mational Security Council. On purely theoretical grounds, it would, of course, be preferable to report to one individual rather than to a group. However, as a matter of pract cal operations, it would seem to be best to place it under the Council, so that the Secretaries of State, Mational Defense, War, ir, and the Havy - who will be among the prime users of the intelligence produced - would not have the feeling that the Director is continually skirting them into the back door of the White House. It is felt that working with the Council in the manner contemplated will produce the best cooperation from the Departments concerned.

The thought that the Central Intelligence Agency should be placed under the Secretary of Entional Defense is unacound, as it might be construed as placing the Agency within the military establishment, which would in all probability be unsatisfactory to the State Department. This Agency must serve the diplomatic as well as the military and naval arms. This can best be done out the military establishment. Since it is obliged to serve all, must be free of the natural bias of an operating Department.

Bills It is not felt advisable, as a matter of legislative it is manable, to include detailed functions of the Central Intellige on manable, to include detailed functions of the Central Intellige on Agency in H.R. 2119. H.R. 2119 is a broad outline of the funct case of the security actabilishment of the Government. The inclusion of the detailed poles and mindoon of this Igency does not make properly to be a part of such legislation. It is quite necessary has this Agency have detailed legislation of its own, setting forth its functions, as well as those general authorities which it is fall the Agency should have. These are being included in the draft of an amabling act to be submitted for Goograssicaal approval after the passage of the unification bill.

The fears that the terms of the Enscutive Order sides which the Agency now operates could be changed or broadened by the withdrawal or amendment by the President after the hill becomes law appear to be unfounded. The present bill specifically provided that the functions of the Director smitthe G.I.G., as set fort the President's Executive Order of 22 January 1916, (Il Telera Register 1337), are transferred to the new Agency, and therefor the frozen into H.A. 2319, with no possibility of Executive Co. 2018 No further changes in these functions could be made execut by the further changes in these functions could be made execut by the further changes in these functions could be made execut by the further changes in these functions could be made execut by the further changes in these functions could be made execut by the further changes in these functions could be made execut by the functional Security Council once the bill becomes law. As a state above, the detailed legislation for this Agency, which is a state will be submitted as soon as the Agency is established to the

Security: The Central Intelligence Agency is limited in the Gost to dealing with the foreign intelligence activities of the Gost estates. It should not be and is not concerned in any war aid in mestic intelligence or internal security functions. Its mestic intelligence information originating outside the Indeed is in intelligence information originating outside the Indeed States. However, if the Congressional Committee foels that tional safeguards are needed, Jection 202 of A.R. 2319 and the amended by the addition of a Section "d", to read so in the language of the President's Executive Order, as in more police, law enforcement or internal security functions and the police, law enforcement or internal security functions and security of the Central Intelligence agency." Such a many shall substit to the Googress.